

## UNITED STAI, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tre-temark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTY, DOCKET NO. 08/631,613 04/10/96 SULLIVAN P-4628-SPALD EXAMINER DONALD R BAHR
SPALDING & EVENFLO COMPANIES INC
POST OFFICE BOX 30101
601 S HARBOUR ISLAND BOULEVARD SUITE 200TAMPA FL 33630-5230 ARPLINE O . G PAPER NUMBER 3304 DATE MAILED: 01/23/97

|                       | This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.  COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS   |
|-----------------------|--|
|                       | OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY  |
| X                     | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4-10-96  |
|                       | This action is FINAL.  |
|                       | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  |
| the                   | nontened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire   |
| Disposition of Claims |  |
| TY.                   | Claim(s)   |
| <b>Z</b>              | Claim(s)   |
|                       | Of the above, claim(s)   Is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s)  |
| X                     | Claim(s) 1-22 + 24-38 Is/are rejected.   |
|                       | Claim(s)is/are objected to.  |
| App                   | Claim(s) are subject to restriction or election requirement.   |
|                       | See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  The drawing(s) filed onis/are objected to by the Examiner.  The proposed drawing correction, filed onis approved disapproved.  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. |
| Pric                  | orthy under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |
| $\dot{\Box}$          | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d):   |
|                       | All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been   |
|                       | received.  |
|                       | received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)  |
|                       | received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |
| _                     | Certified copies not received:   |
|                       | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  |
| Attachment(s)         |  |
| X                     | Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892   |
| À                     | Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 4+6  |
| Ò                     | Interview Summary, PTO-413   |
| ×                     | Notice of Draftperson's Patent Orawing Review, PTO-948   |
|                       | Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152   |
| _                     | -SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES-   |
|                       | # U.S.CPO: 1986-404-489/40917  |

Serial No. 08/631,613 Art Unit 3304

W. 🔻

Page 9, line 8, "ga" is confusing, and must be corrected.

More informative structural details at the point of novelty must be provided in the Abstract.

The claimed critical properties of each layer of the ball must be labeled on the drawings, without using an excess of descriptive terms. 37 CFR 1.83.

Page 1, the cross references to applicants' parent cases obviously is confusing, and must be corrected. Also, the current status of each case must be indicated, with each case being mentioned but once, rather than twice.

Claims 1-22 and 24-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are too broad and not adequately particular, since they fail to exclude a wound golf ball, although the specification fails to describe details of a wound embodiment. Also, some claims define properties which are indefinite, due to the lack of a reference standard. See claims 6, 12, 13, 14, 24, and 27-30, for examples.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or

Serial No. 08/631,613 Art Unit 3304

a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 1-22 and 24-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over Nesbitt (193), Proudfit (187), Endo (950) or Hamada et al (674).

As understood, inherent features of the reference golf balls are claimed. The burden is on applicants to show that inherency is not involved. Any possible distinctions over said golf balls are deemed obvious variants thereof to provide a compression and feel

Serial No. 08/631,613 Art Unit 3304

preferred by some golfer's, while using materials which are conventional in this art.

No claim is allowed.

G.J. Marlo:lf January 22, 1997 703 308-2094

> GEORGE J. MARLO PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 334